

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/765,558	01/26/2004	Gajendra Shukla	034421-000178	8147		
75	7590 03/09/2006		EXAMINER			
Robert E. Krel	Robert E. Krebs			CHO, HONG SOL		
	Thelen Reid & Priest, LLP P.O. Box 640640-0640			PAPER NUMBER		
San Jose, CA 95164-0640			2662			
			DATE MAILED: 03/09/200	6		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					赵		
	Application No	о.	Applicant(s)		7		
	10/765,558		SHUKLA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	Hong Cho		2662				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cov	er sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vor Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS C 36(a). In no event, ho will apply and will expire, cause the application	COMMUNICATION wever, may a reply be tim e SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this co) (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 D 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-fi	ormal matters, pro		e merits is			
Disposition of Claims							
4) □ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the applicati 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	wn from conside						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					İ		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•			, ,			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					ļ		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) [5) [6) [Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa Other:	te	D-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This office action is a response to the amendment filed on 12/27/2005. Claims 1 and 2 are pending in the instant application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cheung et al (U.S 5812531), hereinafter referred to as Cheung in view of Ritter et al (U.S 5570084), hereinafter referred to as Ritter and further in view of Lee et al (USPUB 20050141457), hereinafter referred to as Lee.

Re claims 1 and 2, Cheung discloses tracking a mobile node in a wireless network (column 7, lines 43-49). Cheung discloses a wireless node registered to a single access point (AP) (a wireless device associated with a first access point, column 3, lines 53-56). Cheung discloses APs having overlapping coverage areas and constructing a topology table describing the topology of the wireless network around itself (associating a first AP and a second AP when the first AP and the second AP have overlapping coverage areas,

Art Unit: 2662

figure 1; column 7, lines 43-58). Cheung discloses APs connected to a wired LAN, but fails to disclose the network having a plurality of gateways and each gateway coupled to at least one access point. Ritter discloses a system where an AP is connected to the network via a bridge/router (elements 26 and 30 connected to elements 12 and 14, figure 1). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Cheung to have a gateway coupled to an access point. The motivation to combine is to get the benefit of using a gateway in routing packets between the wireless network and the wired network and between wireless nodes. Chung and Ritter fail to disclose transferring information associated with the wireless device to the second access point upon establishment of a communication session between the wireless device and the first access point, said transferred information including session parameters relating to communication sessions between the wireless device and the wireless network such that disruption of communication between the wireless device and the wireless network during a turnover of communication with the wireless device from the first access point to the second access point is minimized. Lee discloses a procedure of propagating state information of a wireless station to potential APs (transferring session parameters to other APs) before a handoff is performed (upon establishment of a communication session between the wireless device and the first access point, (paragraph [0043]). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Cheung to adopt the above procedure of Lee so that a wireless client would not experience disruption of a communication session with new AP because the handoff would be seamless operation.

Re claim 2, Cheung discloses all of the limitations of the base claim, but fails to disclose associating a first AP and a gateway coupled to a second AP. Ritter discloses a system where an AP is connected to the network via a bridge/router (element 26, figure 1). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Cheung to have a gateway coupled to an access point. The motivation to combine is to get the benefit of using a gateway in routing packets between the wireless network and the wired network and between wireless nodes serviced with different APs.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 2 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hong Cho whose telephone number is 571-272-3087.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri during 7 am to 4 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hassan Kizou can be reached on 571-272-3088. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-3088.

Application/Control Number: 10/765,558

Art Unit: 2662

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hong Cho
Patent Examiner
3/1/2006

CHAU NGUYEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Clean Ti Nfram

Page 5